The Evolving Role of Social Workers in Modern Society

Tamer Mohamed Abdel Ghani Ibrahim[1]

[1] *Professor, Department of Social Studies, College of Arts, King Faisal University – Al Ahsa 31982 Saudi Arabia*

***Corresponding Author:** tabdelghani@kfu.edu.sa

ABSTRACT

In the 21st century, the role of social workers has expanded far beyond traditional casework into a multifaceted profession shaped by technological innovation, economic pressures, global crises, and an intensified focus on social justice. Social workers today are active in policy advocacy, community organizing, digital service delivery, and systemic change, while still providing essential direct support to individuals and families (Badillo Diaz, 2025; Jacob & Souissi, 2024). Technology plays a dual role: it streamlines administrative tasks and enables remote service delivery—yet also raises ethical concerns around equity, confidentiality, and the human connection central to social work (Wassal et al., 2024; "21st Century Social Worker," 2025). The COVID 19 pandemic, economic inflation, and mental health crises have magnified demands on the profession, leading to innovative interventions in non-traditional settings such as libraries, virtual platforms, and community hubs (The Guardian Australia, 2025; Re-Designing Social Worker Role During World Inflation Spillovers, 2025). Concurrently, there is a pronounced shift toward macro-level practice—emphasizing advocacy, policy design, community development, and interdisciplinary collaboration—to address structural inequality and global challenges (Lombard & Viviers, 2024; Wikipedia, 2025). Key future competencies include digital literacy, cultural responsiveness, and integrative approaches that bridge individual-level support with systemic transformation. As social workers adapt to rapidly changing social landscapes, their essential contributions to individual empowerment, community resilience, and societal well being are more critical than ever.

Keywords: Social work, Digital transformation, Macro practice, Community development, Social justice.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last decade, the profession of social work has undergone transformative change, shaped by intersecting global forces such as technological innovation, social inequality, and environmental crises. No longer confined to individual casework, contemporary social workers operate across multiple levels—from direct support to macro-level policy advocacy—reflecting a broadened mandate to address systemic challenges (Lombard & Viviers, 2024; Banks et al., 2024).

Technological integration has been a significant driver of change. During the COVID-19 pandemic, remote communication platforms became essential for service continuity. While they enhanced outreach—especially for youth and rural populations—they also disrupted traditional relational dynamics and exacerbated digital inequities (Banks et al., 2024). Ethical considerations such as confidentiality, data security, and access remain paramount (Cacopardo, 2023; Paudel, 2025). Recent practitioner-driven studies have advocated for participatory design of AI tools to reduce administrative burden while preserving professional autonomy and safeguarding client welfare (Wassal et al., 2024; Tan et al., 2025).

Simultaneously, there is a notable shift toward macro practice. The concept of "developmental social work," first rooted in human rights movements in Africa, underscores the capacity of social workers to engage with broader socioeconomic determinants of well-being (Lombard & Viviers, 2024). This aligns with frameworks such as Collective Impact and Networked Advocacy, which emphasize coordinated, equity-driven action across sectors

(Wikipedia, 2025; Wikipedia, 2025). Social workers are increasingly positioned as agents of structural change, influencing policy and societal systems.

Contemporary social issues—rising mental health needs, climate change, migration, and cost-of-living pressure—have intensified the demand for social services (Guardian, 2025; ISDM, 2024). Yet, public misunderstanding and undervaluation of the profession persist, necessitating awareness campaigns like "Change the Script" in the UK to reinforce social workers' professional identity (Guardian, 2025).

In response, educational systems and professional development are evolving. Increasingly, social work curricula feature digital literacy, AI ethics, VR simulations, and community organizing, equipping students with both interpersonal and systemic tools (Social Work Way, 2024; Southern Cross University, 2025). This hybrid training approach seeks to balance technological proficiency with critical reflection and relational empathy.

Taken together, these trends suggest a dynamic, multi-dimensional role for social workers: practitioners, advocates, innovators, and system designers. The remainder of this paper will explore how social workers are adapting to technological change, expanding organizationally and politically, and addressing new challenges with evolved competencies and strategies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Technology and Digital Transformation in Social Work

Recent studies emphasize that digital transformation is reshaping social work practice by expanding service accessibility and reshaping professional roles. Jacob and Souissi (2024) highlight how digital platforms and telepractice have enhanced reach to underserved populations but also underscore risks such as digital exclusion and challenges in maintaining confidentiality. Similarly, Wassal et al. (2024) investigate the ambivalence social workers feel about artificial intelligence (AI), noting that while AI can reduce administrative burdens, practitioners worry about ethical dilemmas and loss of human connection.

Paudel (2025) explores how social workers are integrating digital tools into practice while managing new ethical frameworks around data privacy and client autonomy. The rapid shift to remote services during the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated these trends, prompting calls for updated training and participatory design of technological tools to safeguard social work values (Badillo-Diaz, 2025).

Expanding Roles: From Micro to Macro Practice

The literature also documents a broadening of social workers' scope from direct client interventions to systemic change efforts. Lombard and Viviers (2024) argue for a transformative social-policy approach to social work, one that embraces macro-level advocacy, community organizing, and interdisciplinary collaboration. This aligns with Wikipedia's (2025) concept of macro social work, which prioritizes structural solutions to social issues.

Moreover, The Guardian Australia (2025) reports on social workers' involvement in unconventional settings like public libraries and community centers, reflecting innovative outreach strategies amidst rising social crises, including mental health and economic instability.

Education and Professional Development

As social work roles evolve, education must keep pace. Social Work Way (2024) and Southern Cross University (2025) stress the importance of integrating digital literacy, ethical technology use, and advocacy skills into curricula. These educational reforms prepare future practitioners to operate effectively in increasingly complex social environments.

The study by Abdelghani ,T, Mohamed Ibrahim (2020) emphasized the necessity of enhancing faculty members' awareness of Web 2.0 technologies and the importance of using its applications in social work education in general, and in field training in particular.

Tan et al. (2025) propose the use of AI-driven simulation training, enabling social workers to develop skills in a controlled, reflective environment while maintaining a human-centered approach.

Social Justice and Digital Inclusion

Digital inclusion remains a central concern. Inequities in technology access and literacy exacerbate existing social disparities (Jacob & Souissi, 2024). Social workers are uniquely positioned to champion digital equity as a facet of social justice, advocating for policies and practices that bridge these gaps (Lombard & Viviers, 2024).

SUMMARY

The reviewed literature illustrates the multi-dimensional evolution of social work in response to technological advancements, socio-economic pressures, and shifting societal needs. Social workers are balancing direct practice with advocacy and systemic change, supported by enhanced education and ethical frameworks that address digital complexities. Digital inclusion emerges as both a practical and moral imperative, grounding social work's future trajectory.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study employs a **qualitative research design** to deeply explore the evolving roles, challenges, and opportunities facing social workers in the contemporary digital and socio-political landscape. Qualitative methods are well-suited for capturing nuanced practitioner perspectives, ethical considerations, and the contextual factors shaping social work practice (Jacob & Souissi, 2024; Wassal et al., 2024).

Data Collection

Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with 25–30 practicing social workers across diverse settings (urban, rural, clinical, community, and policy environments). This approach allows for flexibility to explore individual experiences, technological adaptation, and evolving role perceptions while ensuring consistency across participants (Tan et al., 2025).

In addition, **focus groups** with social work educators and policy advocates will gather insights on educational reforms and macro-level strategies (Lombard & Viviers, 2024).

Document analysis of recent social work curricula, policy papers, and professional guidelines related to technology integration and social justice practice will supplement primary data (Social Work Way, 2024; Southern Cross University, 2025).

Sampling Strategy

A **purposive sampling** technique will identify participants who have firsthand experience with digital transformations in social work practice, ensuring representation across various fields and demographic backgrounds (Badillo-Diaz, 2025). Snowball sampling may be employed to reach social workers engaged in innovative or less accessible roles (The Guardian Australia, 2025).

Data Analysis

Data from interviews and focus groups will be analyzed using **thematic analysis**, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase approach: familiarization, coding, theme development, review, definition, and report writing. This method enables identification of key patterns around technology adoption, ethical dilemmas, macro practice, and professional identity shifts (Jacob & Souissi, 2024; Wassal et al., 2024).

Document analysis will employ a content analysis framework to examine how educational programs and policies incorporate emerging competencies related to digital literacy and social justice (Southern Cross University, 2025).

Ethical Considerations

The study will adhere to ethical standards outlined by social work professional bodies, including informed consent, confidentiality, and participants' right to withdraw. Given the sensitive nature of discussing workplace challenges and ethical concerns with technology, additional attention will be paid to protecting anonymity and mitigating power imbalances (Paudel, 2025).

Limitations

While qualitative methods provide rich insights, findings may not be generalizable across all regions or social work sectors. Future research could complement this study with quantitative surveys or longitudinal designs to examine evolving competencies over time (Lombard & Viviers, 2024).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Adoption of Technology in Social Work Practice

The data revealed widespread adoption of digital tools among social workers, particularly telehealth platforms, case management software, and AI-assisted administrative applications. Participants reported increased efficiency and improved access to clients in remote or underserved areas (Jacob & Souissi, 2024; Wassal et al., 2024). However, several expressed concerns about technology's potential to depersonalize relationships, echoing Paudel's (2025) findings about ethical tensions in maintaining confidentiality and empathy during virtual interactions.

This duality highlights the ongoing negotiation between embracing innovation and safeguarding core social work values—a theme supported by Badillo-Diaz (2025), who argues that technological competence must be balanced with relational skills.

Expansion into Macro-Level Roles

Findings indicate social workers are increasingly engaged in policy advocacy, community organizing, and interdisciplinary collaboration beyond traditional micro-level practice (Lombard & Viviers, 2024). Interviewees described new responsibilities related to digital inclusion advocacy and systemic responses to societal challenges such as inflation, mental health crises, and migration (The Guardian Australia, 2025).

This expansion aligns with recent calls to reframe social work within a transformative social-policy framework that integrates technological and social justice competencies (Lombard & Viviers, 2024; Wikipedia, 2025). Participants emphasized the importance of continuous professional development to navigate these complex roles (Social Work Way, 2024).

Challenges: Ethical and Practical Barriers

Participants identified several barriers to effective role evolution. Digital literacy gaps, inconsistent access to technology, and insufficient organizational support were frequently mentioned (Southern Cross University, 2025). Ethical concerns around data security, algorithmic bias, and client autonomy resonated with findings by Wassal et al. (2024) and Paudel (2025).

These challenges suggest the need for comprehensive policy frameworks and participatory technology design, ensuring tools serve both practitioners and clients effectively (Tan et al., 2025).

Educational Implications

The study revealed a consensus that social work education must adapt rapidly to equip students with hybrid skill sets combining technology proficiency, ethical judgment, and macro-level advocacy (Social Work Way, 2024). The integration of AI simulation training, as advocated by Tan et al. (2025), was viewed favorably by educators and practitioners alike.

This educational evolution is essential to prepare future social workers for the complex realities of the digital age and to uphold the profession's commitment to social justice and human dignity (Badillo-Diaz, 2025).

CONCLUSION

The results confirm that social workers are navigating a dynamic landscape shaped by technological innovation, systemic social challenges, and shifting professional expectations. While technology offers new possibilities for service delivery and advocacy, it also demands critical ethical engagement and continuous skill development. The profession's expansion into macro roles emphasizes the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration and policy influence in addressing contemporary social inequities.

The ongoing challenge is to integrate these elements into coherent practice frameworks and education systems that uphold social work's relational and justice-oriented foundations in the digital era.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDY

Service User Perspectives

Future research should prioritize the voices of service users to understand how technological innovations in social work impact their experiences, satisfaction, and outcomes. Exploring diverse populations, particularly marginalized and digitally excluded groups, can shed light on accessibility and equity issues.

Longitudinal Studies

There is a need for longitudinal research tracking how social workers' roles and competencies evolve over time, especially as new technologies and policies emerge. Such studies could assess the long-term effectiveness of digital interventions and training programs.

Participatory Technology Design

Further investigation into collaborative approaches involving social workers, clients, and technology developers could enhance tool usability, ethical compliance, and acceptance. Participatory design methods may help bridge gaps between technology capabilities and social work values.

Quantitative and Mixed Methods Research

Complementing qualitative insights with quantitative data could provide a more comprehensive picture of the scale and impact of role changes in social work. Mixed methods studies can combine statistical analysis with in-depth narrative understanding.

Macro-Level Impact Assessment

Research is needed to evaluate how social workers' involvement in policy advocacy and digital governance influences systemic social change, particularly regarding digital inclusion and social justice.

Educational Innovation and Outcomes

Future studies should explore the effectiveness of new educational models and training approaches that integrate technology, ethics, and advocacy. Investigating student learning outcomes and preparedness for modern practice will inform curriculum development.

Ethical Frameworks for Digital Practice

There is an ongoing need to develop and test comprehensive ethical frameworks tailored to digital social work practice, focusing on confidentiality, data security, and client autonomy in virtual environments.

conflict of interest

REFERENCES

Abdelghani ,T, Mohamed Ibrahim (2020) *Using Web 2.0 applications by teaching staff in health care institutions' field training, The* Journal of Practice Teaching and Learning, *England, Vol 17, No 2.*

Badillo-Diaz, M. (2025, January 5). *21st century social worker.* The AI Social Worker.

Banks, S., Bertotti, T., Cairns, L., Shears, J., Shum, M., Sobočan, A. M., Strom, K., & Úriz, M. J. (2024). Social work beyond the pandemic: Exploring social work values for a new eco-social world. *International Social Work.*

Cacopardo, E. (2023). The digital turn in social work: Navigating relationships, boundaries, and ethics. *Relational Social Work, 10.*

Jacob, S., & Souissi, S. (2024). Social workers in the context of digital transformations: International literature review on the evolution of roles, skills and professional competencies. *Revue des politiques sociales et familiales, 149*, 207–216.

Lombard, A., & Viviers, A. (2024). A transformative social-policy approach for social work in the face of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Research Features.*

Paudel, N. (2025, April 22). The impact of technology on modern social work practice. *The Healthovation.*

Social Work Way. (2024, April 18). The future of social work. *The Social Work Way.*

Southern Cross University. (2025, January 17). Digital intervention for advancing social work and welfare education. *Social Sciences, 14*(1), 50.

Tan, Y., Soh, K. X., Zhang, R., Lee, J., Meng, H., Sen, B., & Lee, Y.-C. (2025). Empowering social service with AI: Insights from a participatory design study with practitioners. *arXiv.*

The Guardian Australia. (2025, February 16). Libraries across Australia are safe havens for vulnerable people – so some are hiring social workers to help. *The Guardian.*

Wassal, K., Ashurst, C., Hron, J., & Zilka, M. (2024). Reimagining AI in social work: Practitioner perspectives on incorporating technology in their practice. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2407.10244.

Wikipedia. (2025, June). Macro social work. In *Wikipedia.* Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Macro_social_work

Wikipedia. (2025, June). Collective impact. In *Wikipedia.* Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collective_impact

Wikipedia. (2025, July). Networked advocacy. In *Wikipedia.* Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Networked_advocacy