JOURNAL OF CULTURAL ANALYSIS AND SOCIAL CHANGE

Journal of Cultural Analysis and Social Change,
2025, 10(3), 1123-1131
ISSN: 2589-1316

Women, Social Media, and Politics: Perspectives on Inequalities, Resistance, and Contested Narratives

Ricardo Enrique Grundy López[1*], Renato Paredes Velazco[2], Doris Cornejo Paredes[3], Javier Lucho Valero Quispe[4], Cintya Yadira Vera Revilla[5]

1Universidad Católica de Santa María; Email: rgrundy@ucsm.edu.pe
2Universidad Católica de Santa María; Email: rparedesv@ucsm.edu.pe
3Universidad Católica de Santa María; Email: dcornejo@ucsm.edu.pe
4Universidad Católica de Santa María; Email: dcornejo@ucsm.edu.pe
5Universidad Católica de Santa María; Email: cvera@ucsm.edu.pe

*Corresponding Author: rgrundy@ucsm.edu.pe

Citation: López, R. E. G., Velazco, R. P., Paredes, D. C., Quispe, J. L. V., & Revilla, C. Y. V. (2025). Women, Social Media, and Politics: Perspectives on Inequalities, Resistance, and Contested Narratives. *Journal of Cultural Analysis and Social Change*, *10*(3), 1123–1131. https://doi.org/10.64753/jcasc.v10i3.2567

Published: November 29, 2025

ABSTRACT

This essay critically explores the tension between structural inequality and women's agency in digital politics through a comparative, intersectional framework. It is based on a qualitative review of academic and empirical studies published between 2015 and 2025, selected according to their relevance to gender, media, and political participation. The comparative focus includes case studies from Latin America, Asia, and Africa—regions chosen for their contrasting sociopolitical contexts and varying degrees of digital inclusion—aiming to capture both diversity and asymmetry in women's online participation. The analysis maps six interconnected dimensions: gender gaps in access to and use of technology; symbolic violence and online hate speech; the clash between legacy media representations and digital narratives; young women's activism on platforms such as Twitter (now "X") and Facebook; satire as a political tool in authoritarian settings; and transnational women's networks for global mobilization. The methodological approach combines a critical discourse analysis of secondary sources with a comparative synthesis across regions. Findings indicate that digital technologies, on their own, do not guarantee women's political inclusion; instead, they frequently reproduce or deepen pre-existing exclusions—particularly where ethnicity, class, age, or geography intersect. Nonetheless, digital spaces open new avenues for participation, allowing women to create autonomous narratives and communities of solidarity. Digital feminist activism emerges in multiple forms, from contesting misogynistic discourse to deploying humor, art, and transnational advocacy. The central argument is that digital networks constitute ambivalent arenas where exclusion and empowerment coexist. Expanding women's full digital citizenship requires inclusive technology policies, coordinated strategies against online violence, and stronger cross-border support networks.

Keywords: Women's political participation, Social media, Gender digital divide.

INTRODUCTION

In the twenty-first century, digital and communication technologies have profoundly reshaped how political participation is exercised. Social media, messaging platforms, and online forums have created new arenas where citizens worldwide can express opinions, organize, and mobilize beyond traditional institutional channels. While many scholars regard this transformation as an opportunity to democratize public debate—particularly for historically marginalized groups such as women—this optimistic vision often conceals persistent structural

inequalities. The guiding question that frames this study is: to what extent have digital technologies transformed, rather than leveled, the conditions of women's political participation?

To address this question, the essay adopts a comparative and intersectional analytical framework grounded in a documentary and theoretical review of studies published between 2010 and 2025. The selection of sources followed three main criteria: (1) academic relevance to gender, media, and political participation; (2) empirical or conceptual focus on digital activism and representation; and (3) regional balance across Latin America, Asia, and Africa. This approach enables the identification of recurring cross-regional patterns while accounting for specific cultural, historical, and political contexts that shape women's digital participation.

Recent research demonstrates that gender gaps in political participation persist and, in some contexts, even widen in online environments. Studies such as Ahmed (2020) and Shehzad et al. (2021) reveal that unequal internet access, limited digital literacy, and patriarchal norms disproportionately constrain women in countries like India and Pakistan. Even in societies with higher connectivity, such as Brazil or New Zealand, women continue to face symbolic violence, hate speech, and invisibilization of their contributions, as observed by Silveira (2022) and Schuster (2013). These constraints intensify at the intersections of class, ethnicity, age, and geography.

However, depicting women's digital presence solely through vulnerability offers a partial view. Far from passive participants, many women strategically employ digital platforms to articulate new forms of political engagement. Examples include Afro-feminist activism in Latin America, the creative use of humor and irony in authoritarian regimes like Zimbabwe (Mathe, 2023), and the mobilization of online networks to challenge patriarchal norms in Saudi Arabia (Karolak & Guta, 2020). Such cases illustrate how women transform digital tools into instruments of visibility, resistance, and collective agency.

Building on this methodological design, the essay is structured into six thematic sections. First, it examines gender gaps in digital access and political participation, emphasizing experiences from the Global South (India, Pakistan, Tanzania, and Liberia). Second, it analyzes symbolic violence and online hate speech against women, including both discursive and community-based responses. Third, it contrasts portrayals of women in politics across legacy and digital media to assess whether new platforms disrupt or reproduce patriarchal imaginaries. Fourth, it explores the dynamics of women's digital activism, particularly among younger generations. Fifth, it investigates the role of satire, play, and irony as political strategies in authoritarian contexts. Sixth, it assesses how transnational and diaspora networks contribute to women's global political mobilization, drawing on comparative insights from Latin America, Asia, and Africa.

The central thesis argues that women's political participation in digital environments reveals an enduring tension between structural inequality and agency. Digital technologies function simultaneously as barriers and catalysts, depending on the material, symbolic, and cultural conditions of use. By combining comparative and intersectional perspectives through a systematic review of global evidence, this study demonstrates that there is no single model of women's online political participation but rather multiple, context-dependent trajectories—some limited by exclusion, others empowered by collective resistance—all converging toward a shared aspiration: achieving full and visible citizenship in digital public life.

GENDER GAPS IN POLITICAL PARTICIPATION AND DIGITAL ACCESS

A wide range of studies confirms that gender gaps in political participation persist both online and offline, particularly in contexts marked by structural and socioeconomic inequality. For the purpose of this discussion, digital political participation is understood as women's engagement in civic or political life through digital platforms—ranging from accessing information and expressing opinions to organizing, mobilizing, or influencing decision-making processes. This multidimensional approach allows distinguishing between levels of participation such as interest, expression, activism, and representation.

In India, digital platforms often reproduce—and in some cases intensify—these inequalities. Men participate and access social media more frequently than women, reinforcing gendered hierarchies in visibility and influence. Ahmed (2020) demonstrates that although online platforms create new opportunities for mobilization, rural women's participation remains constrained by overlapping barriers of access, literacy, and social norms. Similar trends appear in Pakistan, where Shehzad et al. (2021) observe that sociocultural restrictions and family surveillance limit women's capacity to express political views online, even when internet connectivity is available.

Conversely, traditional media such as community radio have shown potential to reduce these disparities in specific contexts. In rural India, for instance, radio programs have mobilized women to seek information and engage in local political debates, whereas social media continue to be dominated by urban young men. Likewise, in rural Liberia, a gender-responsive intervention that distributed radios and organized educational workshops before elections increased women's political knowledge and participation, narrowing the gender gap. Participants exposed to verified radio content reported higher political discussion and greater autonomy in voting, while men's behavior remained unchanged—indicating an equalizing effect (Mvukiyehe, 2025).

Beyond Asia and Africa, Latin American experiences offer complementary evidence. In Brazil, Silveira (2022) notes that despite high digital connectivity, women politicians and activists still face symbolic violence and coordinated online harassment that limit their representation and discourage participation. This finding underscores that technological availability does not guarantee inclusion; instead, the quality of democratic institutions, the strength of civil society, and the effectiveness of online regulation determine whether digital spaces become empowering or exclusionary.

Taken together, these studies highlight key structural variables—digital literacy, household autonomy, safety, and institutional support—that mediate women's ability to transform connectivity into civic engagement. Access alone does not secure equitable participation; it is the interaction between education, gender norms, and political opportunity structures that shapes outcomes.

While social media and other digital platforms expand opportunities for political participation, they do not, on their own, dismantle pre-existing inequalities. Across India, Pakistan, Tanzania, Liberia, and Brazil, offline hierarchies are often mirrored in online spaces unless proactive measures—digital literacy programs, gender-sensitive media policies, and community-driven initiatives—promote genuine inclusion. The gender digital divide is not merely technological but structural, manifesting in unequal access, limited visibility, and restricted forms of participation. Addressing it requires integrated strategies that combine digital access with education, institutional accountability, and protections that ensure women can participate safely and confidently in digital public life (Schuster, 2013).

SYMBOLIC VIOLENCE AND ONLINE HATE SPEECH AGAINST WOMEN

While social media have amplified new feminist and civic voices, they have simultaneously become arenas of symbolic violence and hate speech designed to silence or discredit women's political participation. Empirical studies across regions document hostile digital environments—ranging from gendered insults and ridicule to organized disinformation campaigns—and analyze how women respond through counter-discourse and networked resistance.

In Brazil, Silveira (2022) conducted a qualitative content and discourse analysis of user comments posted in response to the #Eleitas campaign on the Facebook page Quebrando o Tabu. From a dataset of several thousand comments, she identified recurring patterns of symbolic violence—including mockery, sexualized stereotypes, and delegitimization of women's competence—classified by type (humor, insult, threat), intensity (mild irony to explicit hate speech), and actor (individual vs. coordinated trolling). Even under positive posts celebrating women's achievements, numerous users recycled misogynistic jokes, such as adapting the saying "woman behind the wheel, constant danger" to politics, thereby reproducing patriarchal narratives about women's alleged incapacity for public leadership.

Importantly, the same threads revealed forms of discursive resistance. Female participants, as well as allies, countered sexist remarks through irony, factual rebuttals, and collective defense, shifting the discursive focus from victims to aggressors. This interactional dynamic—attack and counterattack—illustrates what the author conceptualizes as a symbolic battlefield, where gendered hierarchies are performed, contested, and potentially redefined.

Comparative evidence from other regions reinforces these findings. In the MENA context, Karolak and Guta (2023) analyze coordinated smear campaigns against women activists on Twitter, where moral accusations such as "immorality" or "indecency" function as instruments of social control. Similarly, Banaji and Moreno-Almeida (2021) show that progressive women engaging with topics like sexuality, religion, or state violence face disproportionate backlash, including doxxing, cyberstalking, and mass-reporting—forms of violence that exceed mere discourse and operate as mechanisms of exclusion.

These studies converge in identifying three analytic dimensions of online gender-based violence: (1) visibility punishment—attacks that target women who achieve prominence; (2) discursive containment—ridicule and moral policing that restrict public speech; and (3) institutional evasion—the lack of effective moderation and accountability from platforms that profit from engagement, even when it is driven by hate. While some platforms provide reporting mechanisms, algorithmic bias and inconsistent enforcement allow misogynistic content to circulate widely, creating what scholars describe as structurally permissive environments.

Although initiatives such as #NoEstásSola or feminist reporting networks have generated community-based responses, their reach remains uneven and largely dependent on voluntary labor. The persistence of online violence underscores the need for systemic interventions: transparent content moderation, algorithmic audits to detect gender bias, and collaborations between civil society, governments, and technology companies.

In sum, social media reproduce offline patriarchal dynamics but also function as contested spaces where these structures are publicly exposed. Women's responses—through counter-speech, irony, and digital sisterhood—represent important acts of agency, yet they often occur within unequal technological and institutional frameworks.

The ambivalence of platforms lies not in their dual capacity to empower or harm, but in the structural asymmetry between individual resilience and corporate responsibility. Sustainable change requires shifting from reactive moderation to proactive governance, ensuring that digital environments foster equality not only in expression but also in protection.

REPRESENTATIONS IN LEGACY MEDIA VS. NARRATIVES ON DIGITAL PLATFORMS

A central analytical axis in the study of women's political participation concerns how media representation—understood as the discursive construction of women's political roles in news and entertainment content—differs across legacy media (press, television) and digital platforms, and how these divergent narratives shape both public perception and individual participation. For analytical clarity, media representation refers to how women in politics are portrayed by institutions of mass communication, while digital narratives refer to self-authored, participatory, or algorithmically mediated accounts circulating through platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, and YouTube. Together, these domains reveal structural asymmetries in who controls visibility and how authority is gendered.

Comparative evidence suggests that while traditional outlets tend to reproduce patriarchal hierarchies through omission or stereotyping, digital platforms have enabled partial reconfigurations of voice and visibility. Vargas (2015), in a content analysis of Latin American news coverage, finds that women's political presence is both numerically and symbolically marginalized: they appear less frequently in prime-time broadcasts and front pages, and when they do, coverage focuses disproportionately on personal attributes—family, emotions, or appearance—rather than policies or performance. The study used a corpus of 320 articles across five national newspapers, revealing a consistent discursive framing that situates public authority as masculine. This pattern sustains the "symbolic annihilation" of women in politics and diminishes their perceived legitimacy among audiences.

A contrasting yet complementary case emerges in Saudi Arabia, where Karolak and Guta (2020) analyzed 50 media pieces about the first women appointed to the Shura Council. Using a critical discourse analysis framework, they observed a hybrid rhetoric: state-controlled outlets celebrated women as "decision-makers," but confined their symbolic power to culturally sanctioned domains—education, family, and social welfare. Such portrayals reaffirm gender hierarchies by assigning legitimacy only within predefined moral boundaries. However, parallel discourses on Twitter diversified the debate. Female users reframed the appointments as both symbolic progress and insufficient reform, demanding genuine political inclusion. The digital narrative, though fragmented, opened a participatory space for negotiation of meaning that traditional outlets constrained.

Methodologically, both cases illustrate distinct patterns of agenda setting and framing. Legacy media tend to centralize authority and filter discourse through editorial hierarchies, while digital platforms distribute it horizontally—though not necessarily democratically. In Indonesia, Suratnoaji and Alamiyah (2025) conducted a mixed-method study combining content metrics and discourse analysis of 2,000 Twitter posts during national elections. They found that women activists and commentators gained unprecedented visibility through user-led discussions about education, the economy, and corruption—topics often neglected by mainstream outlets. However, algorithmic amplification favored emotionally charged or polarizing content, revealing the ambivalence of "visibility" as both empowerment and exposure to backlash.

These cases highlight the need for a systematic comparative framework with at least three analytical dimensions:

- Visibility – quantitative presence across media types;
- Framing – thematic and linguistic portrayal of women's roles;
- Agency – the degree of control women exert over their representation.

Applying this framework shows that digital platforms can enhance agency but remain structurally conditioned by algorithmic logics and unequal access to technological capital. The visibility of women's political actors online is mediated not only by user participation but also by opaque recommendation systems that privilege virality over equity.

The relationship between legacy and digital media is not dichotomous but dialectical. Traditional outlets maintain patriarchal inertia that underrepresents or trivializes women's political engagement, whereas digital spaces enable counter-narratives that challenge such exclusion—albeit within algorithmic structures that still reproduce inequality. The convergence of these spheres will determine whether women's increasing political visibility becomes sustainable or remains episodic. Advancing gender equality in representation requires both journalistic reform—toward inclusive editorial practices—and platform accountability that ensures algorithmic transparency and equitable amplification of diverse voices.

WOMEN'S DIGITAL ACTIVISM ON TWITTER AND FACEBOOK

Digital activism has emerged as a central field for analyzing how women—particularly younger generations—reshape political participation through networked communication. The concept of digital activism encompasses diverse practices of mobilization, expression, and performative resistance that unfold within online environments. It is not limited to militancy or formal organization; rather, it includes spontaneous and affective engagements—posting, sharing, and commenting—that collectively produce political visibility. Yet such participation is ambivalent: while it can amplify marginalized voices, it also depends on volatile attention economies and algorithmic structures that may reinforce inequality.

In the Philippines, Dayrit et al. (2022) examined a sample of 1,500 young adults (aged 18–30) and identified a strong correlation between digital literacy and political expression. The study found that women and individuals with non-heteronormative identities participated more actively in gender-related debates when they possessed skills in producing and curating online content. Quantitatively, users with higher content-creation proficiency exhibited greater engagement rates—likes, retweets, and comments—suggesting that visibility capital functions as a precondition for digital empowerment.

Indonesia illustrates similar but context-specific patterns. Suratnoaji and Alamiyah (2025), through large-scale analysis of millions of tweets during the 2024 presidential campaign, observed that Twitter enabled women—especially urban youth in Java—to engage in national debates about education, economics, and media regulation. Network graphs revealed cohesive clusters organized around political candidates and feminist hashtags. Yet participation was spatially uneven: rural and peripheral regions displayed lower levels of engagement, exposing a persistent geographic digital divide. The authors conclude that while social media loosen patriarchal gatekeeping in formal politics, they also reproduce existing class and infrastructural inequalities.

In more restrictive environments, digital activism takes hybrid, performative forms. Mathe (2023) analyzed 200 Zimbabwean Twitter accounts and documented how women used satire—memes, hashtags, and coded language—to criticize the regime and bypass censorship. Interviews confirmed that humor served as a tactical disguise for dissent. However, engagement analytics showed that viral visibility was episodic: tweets criticizing male elites tended to be downranked or targeted by coordinated harassment, illustrating how platform algorithms and user policing jointly limit sustained empowerment.

In New Zealand, Schuster (2013) explored feminist digital activism among young women through ethnographic observation and content analysis of blogs and Facebook groups. She found that while online communities foster solidarity and low-threshold participation, much of this activity remains confined to semi-private networks, invisible to mainstream media and earlier feminist generations. The apparent generational gap reveals a paradox: digital spaces democratize expression but fragment collective visibility, leading to what the author calls "micro-public feminisms."

Viewed comparatively, these cases—spanning the Philippines, Indonesia, Zimbabwe, and New Zealand—illustrate both the promise and precarity of digital activism. Theoretical integration suggests three cross-cutting dimensions:

- Mobilization – the capacity to transform online participation into coordinated offline action;
- Performativity – the symbolic production of political identity through everyday digital practices;
- Sustainability – the durability of activism under conditions of platform censorship, algorithmic bias, and user fatigue.

Empirically, digital participation does not automatically equal empowerment. Visibility depends on technical skills, access to audiences, and algorithmic mediation that privileges virality over depth. Moreover, corporate content moderation and opaque recommendation systems shape whose voices are amplified or silenced.

Women's digital activism constitutes both a pedagogical and political frontier. It allows young women to experiment with leadership, articulate collective grievances, and reimagine citizenship beyond institutional confines. Yet its transformative potential hinges on overcoming structural constraints—digital precarity, online violence, and the commodification of attention—that determine whether such activism endures as a force for empowerment or dissolves into ephemeral visibility.

SATIRE AND PLAY AS STRATEGIES IN AUTHORITARIAN CONTEXTS

A salient dimension of digital feminist activism concerns the use of satire, humor, and play as strategic tools to navigate authoritarian or patriarchal environments. In contexts where overt dissent entails severe risk, humor functions as both disguise and disruption. Digital counter-play—as conceptualized by Mathe (2023)—refers to the production of playful, ambiguous, and interactive content that simultaneously critiques and mimics power, enabling participation within controlled digital ecosystems. Methodologically, the term emerges from qualitative content

analysis and in-depth interviews with Zimbabwean women activists who employed humor to subvert political hierarchies while avoiding direct confrontation.

In Zimbabwe, under a semi-authoritarian regime with entrenched patriarchal norms, women have turned to Twitter as a relatively open arena for political commentary. Mathe's study, based on 1,200 coded posts and 20 interviews, identifies recurring genres of counter-play: irony, parody, emoji-based satire, and exaggerated "hyperboles of reality." These tactics exaggerate governmental absurdities through visual humor—memes, hashtags, and short videos—to expose contradictions in official discourse. The approach generates engagement metrics (likes, retweets, shares) comparable to those of mainstream news outlets, indicating that humor enhances message circulation and audience reach.

Conceptually, counter-play occupies a middle ground between protest and protection. It offers symbolic safety—since humor appears less confrontational than direct critique—yet simultaneously communicates dissent. Women deploy humor as a double-edged strategy: it shields them from punitive measures while connecting emotionally with audiences, fostering solidarity and shared irony. However, Mathe also warns that this symbolic camouflage does not guarantee security; participants reported harassment, doxxing, and state monitoring even under humorous guises, revealing the fragile ethics of "safe" satire.

Comparative evidence from the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) nuances this pattern. Banaji and Moreno-Almeida (2020), through a cross-country digital ethnography of Jordan, Morocco, Tunisia, and the UAE, document how youth—particularly educated, urban women—use memes, short videos, and digital art to question religious and political authority. Yet these practices are unevenly distributed: women from rural or conservative backgrounds face technological and cultural barriers that restrict participation. Thus, digital satire, while appearing inclusive, remains stratified along axes of class, gender, and geography.

Empirically, the effectiveness of humorous activism varies. In Zimbabwe, counter-play content occasionally provoked official responses or media coverage, signaling symbolic disruption, but not structural policy change. In the MENA region, creative dissent often circulates within echo chambers, producing awareness rather than transformation. Nonetheless, both contexts show that humor broadens discursive boundaries and fosters political literacy through laughter.

Ethically, the use of humor in dissent raises complex questions. It blurs the line between entertainment and activism, potentially trivializing serious grievances or exposing activists to unforeseen risks when satire is misinterpreted. Moreover, algorithmic amplification prioritizes viral humor over sustained critique, commodifying dissent in attention economies.

Digital satire exemplifies how women convert constraint into creativity. As counter-play, it constitutes a form of symbolic resistance that erodes authority through ridicule while navigating the surveillance and repression characteristic of authoritarian systems. Its efficacy depends less on immediate political outcomes than on its ability to sustain critical dialogue, nurture collective resilience, and reclaim the emotional terrain of politics through laughter. Yet to ensure its ethical and political sustainability, digital humor must be supported by protective infrastructures—legal, technological, and communal—that safeguard those who wield wit against power.

TRANSNATIONAL WOMEN'S NETWORKS AND ACTIVISM BEYOND BORDERS

A defining facet of women's political participation in the globalized digital era is the emergence and transformation of transnational and diaspora networks that connect activists across countries around shared agendas. These coalitions operate at the intersection of transnational advocacy—structured, goal-oriented influence on international policy—and digital activism—the everyday use of online tools to sustain communication, mobilization, and visibility. While both overlap, the former predates social media and relies on institutional coordination, whereas the latter depends on digital infrastructures that democratize participation yet also reproduce inequalities of access and recognition.

From a historical perspective, the 1990s and early 2000s represent the pre-digital stage of transnational women's organizing, marked by fax, newsletters, and conference diplomacy. Busquier (2023) reconstructs this period through the case of the Red de Mujeres Afrolatinoamericanas, Afrocaribeñas y de la Diáspora (RMAAD), which coordinated activists from over 30 countries during global forums on racism and women's rights. Through preparatory meetings and joint interventions at UN summits such as the 2001 Durban Conference, RMAAD successfully inserted race–gender intersectionality into international declarations—an early instance of South–South advocacy. Yet, as Busquier notes, institutionalization under NGO frameworks introduced tensions between horizontality and bureaucratic control. Despite these constraints, RMAAD achieved policy visibility: the Durban Action Plan and regional platforms later adopted intersectional language first articulated by the network.

In contrast, Monteros-Obelar (2017) examines the Red de Mujeres Latinoamericanas y del Caribe en España, active during the 2000s amid intensified migration. Composed of immigrant women from thirteen Latin American countries, this network evolved from mutual-aid groups into a political platform that lobbied for migrant rights

before Spanish institutions. While largely operating before the social media boom, members gradually incorporated digital coordination—email, forums, and later Facebook—to maintain cohesion. The study documents tangible outcomes: local councils in Madrid and Barcelona adopted consultative mechanisms that included migrant women's associations. Nonetheless, internal asymmetries persisted: linguistic diversity, precarity, and dependence on external funding limited continuity and autonomy.

The second stage (2010–2020) marks the digital consolidation of transnational advocacy. Christoff et al. (2017) analyze Transnational Advocacy Networks (TANs) on climate change in India, Indonesia, Thailand, and Vietnam under the UN's Women for Results initiative. Using interviews with 60 activists and NGO representatives, the study finds that global–local linkages—via digital communication, shared databases, and webinars—enhanced women's technical capacity and leadership in environmental adaptation projects. Concrete results include pilot programs in Vietnam and India that integrated women-led innovation into national adaptation policies. However, patriarchal norms and limited internet access continued to exclude rural women, showing that transnational empowerment depends on pre-existing institutional and infrastructural conditions.

Today, digitalization constitutes the connective tissue of global feminist networking. WhatsApp groups, Facebook pages, and Zoom meetings allow geographically dispersed activists to coordinate transcontinental initiatives such as the International Women's Strike. These digital infrastructures lower logistical barriers, yet they also reproduce global asymmetries: English predominance, uneven bandwidth, and concentration of resources in Northern NGOs often marginalize Global South voices. As Monteros-Obelar (2017) and Busquier (2024) imply, inclusion within transnational networks does not automatically translate into equality within them.

Evaluating impact remains complex. Some networks, like RMAAD, achieved measurable influence on international frameworks; others, such as migrant collectives or climate advocacy groups, attained localized policy gains but limited long-term sustainability once donor cycles ended. Moreover, digital activism within these structures often generates visibility without power—intense online presence with weak institutional follow-up.

In conclusion, women's transnational and diaspora networks reveal both the promise and the paradox of digital globalization. They expand political agency across borders, "globalizing" gender and racial justice agendas that were once confined to national arenas. Yet their effectiveness depends on overcoming persistent divides—technological, linguistic, and financial—that stratify participation. Digital technology now serves as both enabler and filter: it connects movements globally but can entrench hierarchies of access and voice. The future of transnational feminist advocacy will hinge on balancing online inclusion with offline transformation, ensuring that digital solidarity translates into structural change.

CONCLUSIONS

Women's political participation in digital environments exposes enduring tensions between technology's democratizing potential and the structural barriers that shape access, representation, and agency. Far from neutral arenas, digital spaces reproduce the same hierarchies—of class, geography, race, and age—that have historically constrained women's visibility in the public sphere.

The evidence reviewed demonstrates that gendered inequalities in digital access, participation, and representation persist across regions. Studies from India, Pakistan, Tanzania, and Liberia (Ahmed 2020; Shehzad et al. 2021; Mvukiyehe 2025) confirm that unequal infrastructure and patriarchal restrictions continue to exclude women from meaningful online engagement. Meanwhile, research on symbolic violence in Brazil, Saudi Arabia, and the MENA region (Silveira 2022; Karolak and Guta 2023; Banaji and Moreno-Almeida 2021) reveals that digital platforms often replicate offline hostility, transforming public discourse into a field of ideological contestation.

Yet these same environments also serve as laboratories of innovation. Digital activism among young women (Dayrit et al. 2022; Mathe 2023; Schuster 2013) exemplifies how online participation can generate new political identities, affective solidarities, and repertoires of collective action. The creative use of satire, humor, and play (Mathe 2023; Banaji and Moreno-Almeida 2020) demonstrates that women's digital politics do not merely reproduce existing strategies but reconfigure the very language of dissent, blending emotional expression with political critique. Transnational alliances—ranging from the RMAAD network (Busquier 2023) to climate-focused advocacy coalitions (Christoff et al. 2017)—extend this transformation across borders, embedding feminist agendas within global digital infrastructures.

However, several limitations qualify these findings. First, the studies reviewed vary widely in scope, method, and temporal context—from early transnational advocacy in the 1990s to contemporary platform-based activism—making direct comparisons difficult. Second, most research privileges visible, urban, or elite digital actors, leaving rural, disabled, or low-connectivity populations underexplored. Third, few studies offer longitudinal evidence of whether digital participation leads to sustained institutional or policy change, a gap that future scholarship should address through comparative, mixed-method, and temporal designs.

Building on these limitations, future research should explore three methodological directions:

- Longitudinal analyses to assess whether digital mobilizations translate into durable organizational or legislative outcomes.
- Platform ethnographies that examine algorithmic visibility, moderation biases, and the political economy of attention affecting feminist content.
- Intersectional network mapping to trace how digital solidarity circulates unevenly across linguistic, economic, and geographic divides.

From a policy perspective, the evidence suggests that interventions must focus less on universal "digital inclusion" and more on context-sensitive equality infrastructures: programs that integrate access with digital literacy, safety protocols, and participatory governance of online spaces. For feminist movements, this implies strengthening hybrid strategies that connect online visibility with offline action—ensuring that symbolic empowerment is accompanied by institutional recognition and resource redistribution.

In conclusion, women's political participation in digital contexts remains a dynamic, uneven, and deeply relational process. It is neither linear nor uniformly emancipatory, but a field of ongoing negotiation between exclusion and innovation. The comparative cases examined affirm the central thesis: digital technologies can act as barriers or catalysts depending on the interplay of social structure, cultural norms, and institutional response. Understanding—and transforming—this interplay requires continued empirical inquiry, methodological pluralism, and a sustained commitment to equity in the infrastructures of digital citizenship.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The authors confirm that they adhered to the ethical standards described in this article and did not use AI in the writing process.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, S. 2020. Concurrent Media News Use and Gender-Based Political Participation Inequality in a Low-Income Democracy. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 32 (4): 815–828, https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edaa001.

Banaji, S., C. Moreno-Almeida. 2021. Politicizing Participatory Culture at the Margins: The Significance of Class, Gender and Online Media for the Practices of Youth Networks in the MENA Region. *Global Media and Communication* 17 (1): 121–142, https://doi.org/10.1177/1742766520982029.

Busquier, L. 2024. Participación política de las mujeres afrodescendientes: La Red de Mujeres Afrolatinoamericanas, Afrocaribeñas y de la Diáspora ante las conferencias mundiales (1996–2006). *Astrolabio* 33: 460–486, https://doi.org/10.55441/1668.7515.n33.41462.

Christoff, P. S., N. D. Lewis, M.-H. Lu, J. M. Sommer. 2017. Women and Political Participation in India, Indonesia, Thailand, and Vietnam: A Preliminary Analysis of the Local Impact of Transnational Advocacy Networks in Climate Change Adaptation. *Asian Women* 33 (2): 1–22, https://doi.org/10.14431/aw.2017.06.33.2.1.

Dayrit, J. C. S., B. T. Albao, J. V. Cleofas. 2022. Savvy and Woke: Gender, Digital Profile, Social Media Competence, and Political Participation in Gender Issues among Young Filipino Netizens. *Frontiers in Sociology* 7: 1–11, https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2022.966878.

Doley, P., S. Koijam. 2023. Digital Dynamics: Exploring Social Media's Influence on Political Participation of Mishing Women of Assam. *Antrocom: Online Journal of Anthropology* 19 (2): 493–503, https://antrocom.net/wp/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/doley-koijam-social-media-influence-political-participation-mishing.pdf.

Figueiredo, M. A. 2015. Gênero e Participação Política: A Experiência da Rede de Mulheres Pescadoras do Sul da Bahia. *Revista Artemis* 20 (2): 171–179, https://doi.org/10.15668/1807-8214/artemis.v20n2p171-179.

Karolak, M., H. Guta. 2020. Saudi Women as Decision Makers: Analyzing the Media Portrayal of Female Political Participation in Saudi Arabia. *Hawwa* 18 (1): 75–95, https://doi.org/10.1163/15692086-12341371.

Kumar, N., K. Raghunathan, A. Arrieta, A. Jilani, S. Chakrabarti, P. Menon, A. R. Quisumbing. 2019. Social Networks, Mobility, and Political Participation: The Potential for Women's Self-Help Groups to Improve Access and Use of Public Entitlement Schemes in India. *World Development* 114: 28–41, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.09.023.

Lal, B., S. Kwayu, S. Ahmed. 2020. Women's Political Participation on Social Media: The Case of Tanzania. *IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology* 618: 384–390, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64861-9_33.

Mathe, L. 2023. Women's Political Participation in Zimbabwe: Play and Content Creation on Twitter. *Information, Communication & Society* 26 (13): 2598–2613, https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2023.2250442.

Monteros-Obelar, S. 2017. La Emergencia de lo Político en el Cruce entre Migraciones Femeninas, Apoyo Mutuo y Participación Política: La Experiencia de la Red de Mujeres Latinoamericanas y del Caribe en España. *Quaderns-e de l'Institut Català d'Antropologia* 22 (2): 150–166, https://doi.org/10.5565/rev/quaderns-e.235.

Mvukiyehe, E. 2025. Trustworthy Media and Gender Gaps in Political Participation after Civil War: Experimental Evidence from Rural Liberia. *British Journal of Political Science* 55: e68, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123424001066.

Schuster, J. 2013. Invisible Feminists? Social Media and Young Women's Political Participation. *Political Science* 65 (1): 8–24, https://doi.org/10.1177/0032318713486474.

Shehzad, M., M. Yousaf, N. Mahmood, E. Ogadimma. 2021. Impact of Facebook Usage on the Political Participation among Women in Pakistan. *Media Watch* 12 (3): 400–421, https://doi.org/10.15655/mw/2021/v12i3/165225.

Silveira, G. U. D. 2022. #ELEITAS: Violência simbólica e resistência em interações na página Quebrando o Tabu no Facebook sobre a participação feminina na política. *Ilha do Desterro* 75 (3): 75–94, https://doi.org/10.5007/2175-8026.2022.e85580.

Suratnoaji, C., S. S. Alamiyah. 2025. Mapping Indonesian Women's Political Participation in the 2024 Presidential Election Based on Twitter Social Media Data Mining. *Jurnal Komunikasi: Malaysian Journal of Communication* 41 (1): 277–293, https://doi.org/10.17576/JKMJC-2025-4101-16.

Vargas Muñoz, A. 2015. Representaciones mediáticas de la participación política de la mujer. *Revista Electrónica Gestión de las Personas y Tecnología* 22: 4–16.

