JOURNAL OF CULTURAL ANALYSIS AND SOCIAL CHANGE

Journal of Cultural Analysis and Social Change,
2025, 10(4), 383-397
ISSN: 2589-1316

Narrative Economics in the Digital Age: The Power of Stories in Shaping Economic Behavior and Policy

Christos N. Christodoulou-Volos*

[1] *Department of Economics and Business, Neapolis University Pafos, Cyprus 2 Danais Avenue, Paphos 8042, CYPRUS, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3188-844X*

***Corresponding Author:** c.volos@nup.ac.cy

Citation: Volos, C. N. C. (2025). Narrative Economics in the Digital Age: The Power of Stories in Shaping Economic Behavior and Policy, *Journal of Cultural Analysis and Social Change*, 10(4), 383-397. https://doi.org/10.64753/jcasc.v10i4.2842

Published: December 4, 2025

ABSTRACT

Narratives increasingly shape collective expectations, economic behavior, and policy effectiveness. In this study, the active role of economic narratives as drivers of markets is examined under a multi-level conceptualization of narratives in formation, transmission, persistence, and interaction with fundamentals. Through three recent episodes—cryptocurrencies (2016–2022), post-COVID inflation narratives (2020–2023), and the 2021 meme stocks episode—the paper illustrates how narratives shape expectations, coordinate collective action, and influence market outcomes. Analyses use textual, media, and social network data to highlight how platforms digitally facilitate diffusion and amplify emotionally resonant stories. Findings show that narratives can lead, amplify, or even temporarily overcome economic fundamentals to generate feedback loops and market turbulence. The study illustrates the measurable impact of storytelling on financial and macroeconomic action and highlights the importance of injecting narrative analysis into economic research. These conclusions offer some recommendations to policymakers and regulators regarding how to predict, inform, and manage narrative-based effects in today's digitalized economies. By applying crossing theory, empirical exemplars, and policy understanding, the paper provides a stepping stone for applying narrative analysis in economic decision-making, with a focus on the structural importance of stories in shaping contemporary economic systems.

Keywords: Narrative Economics; Behavioral Macroeconomics; Market Sentiment; Digital Media and Financial Contagion; Economic Communication and Policy Narratives

JEL Classifications: D84, E70, G41, Z13, D83

INTRODUCTION

Economic life has never been decided by material conditions and rational calculation alone but by the stories that human beings make of them. From the biblical parable of Joseph interpreting Pharaoh's dreams of famine to debates in the twentieth century about the "New Economy" of the internet, stories have always determined how human beings and societies account for economic events. But until quite recently, the mainstream of economics has not had very much to say about the explanatory power of stories. The common models that dominate macroeconomics—based on representative agents, rational expectations, and equilibrium assumptions—have tended to consider stories, gossip, and cultural narratives to be noise rather than as determinants of first-order influence in economic outcomes. This intellectual scorn has generated a disconnection between the models economists construct and the world in which institutions and individuals actually operate.

The newness of narrative economics, best articulated by Nobel laureate Robert Shiller (2017, 2019), is a paradigm-bending change here. Narrative economics postulates that highly contagious popular stories—whether about stock market booms, housing bubbles, or inflation scares—significantly influence the economic behavior of individuals and collectives. Narratives are not mere passive reflections of their underlying fundamentals but can instead become autonomous forces, reinforcing, distorting, or even generating economic cycles. The 2008 crisis, the rise of cryptocurrencies, and the economic suffering of the COVID-19 pandemic have all presented rich examples of how public stories inform decision-making and policy discussions.

This paper builds and adds to this emerging area of research by examining how economic narratives are framed, conveyed, and entrenched in Internet times. Compared to the past, where narratives traversed the print, broadcast media, and oral axes of communication, narratives are now conditioned by web-based societies, social media, and algorithmic projection. Economic tales therefore travel faster, mutate more frequently, and have an impact on a scale previously unimaginable. The narratives of "meme stocks" like GameStop, the populist embrace of cryptos, and broader anxieties about "money printing" leading to hyperinflation during the pandemic demonstrate today's continued salience of digital narrative dynamics.

The general question this paper addresses is: How are economic narratives constructed and disseminated, and how do they influence macroeconomic performance in the digital era? This inquiry arises out of three intimately connected considerations:

1. ***Behavioral Foundations***: Economic theory generally underestimates the extent to which heuristics, frames, and narratives govern decision-making. Storytelling is the interface between micro-level psychology and macro-level consequences.
2. ***Relevance to Policy:*** Policymakers, particularly the central banks and the fiscal authorities, more and more valuing the management of expectations. Nevertheless, they still speak largely in technical language and often contradict the emotionally resonant narratives that underpin public discourse.
3. ***Systemic Risk:*** Narrative-based behavior can magnify volatility, create self-fulfilling prophecies, and destabilize markets. It is therefore crucial for financial stability and macroeconomic management to make sense of narrative dynamics.

Even as the significance of narratives has increasingly been recognized, existing scholarship is subject to a number of limitations. Most narrative economic work is conceptual or anecdotal, basing itself on case histories instead of systematic reasoning. Although some studies have started using text-mining and sentiment analysis to apply them to economic writing, there is minimal overlap between these empirical methods and a solid theory base. Third, the strange dynamics of web-era tales—their pace, contagiousness, and reconstruction by online networks—are themselves fairly under-explored. This piece tries to plug these gaps with a synergy between theory-building and anecdotal case studies of narrative contagion.

This study adds three things of value:

1. ***Theoretical:*** I construct a conceptual framework that links the origin, dissemination, and durability of narratives to macroeconomic performance. My framework derives from concepts from economics, psychology, sociology, and communication theory.
2. ***Empirical:*** I use case studies of three contemporary economic narratives—cryptocurrencies, post-pandemic inflation, and meme stocks—and demonstrate how narratives shape market activity and policy debate. The cases also identify methodological paths for rigorously examining narratives.
3. ***Policy-Oriented:*** I stress the policy dimension of narrative economics for economic governance. Governments, regulators, and central banks must deal with not only fundamentals but also skillfully handle the narratives that guide public perceptions.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a review of the literature on narrative economics, placing it in context with the broader controversies across behavioral economics, sociology, and media studies. Section 3 develops a conceptual framework on how narratives work as economic forces. Section 4 explains the methodological approach, i.e., combining text analysis and theory-guided insights. Section 5 offers empirical illustrations of three dominant economic narratives of the digital age. Section 6 addresses the more general theory, practice, and policy implications, and Section 7 concludes by citing the need for a paradigm shift in economics, fully embracing the power of narratives.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

The Origins of Narrative Economics

The intellectual origins of narrative economics lie in the recognition that economic activity cannot be explained merely with the aid of rational-choice theories or mechanistic equilibrium theories. The field draws much of its modern formulation from Robert Shiller, whose presidential address at the American Economic Association in

2017 officially introduced the word into use, which was subsequently elaborated more in his 2019 work, Narrative Economics: How Stories Go Viral and Drive Major Economic Events. Shiller argued that economic cycles are often initiated not only by changes in fundamentals but also by "viral" stories that capture public imagination, shape expectations, and guide collective action.

There are previous precedents. John Maynard Keynes (1936) emphasized the role of "animal spirits" and expectations in influencing investment behavior, and Friedrich Hayek (1945) recognized the importance of dispersed knowledge and shared beliefs in coordinating markets. More recently, George Akerlof and Shiller's Animal Spirits (2009) extended Keynesian insight to the domain of behavioral economics, demonstrating the influence of money illusion tales, confidence, fairness, and corruption on macroeconomic events. Deirdre McCloskey's rhetorical turn in economics (1985, 1998) also predated the narrative school, highlighting that economic reasoning is embedded in metaphor, persuasion, and narrative.

However, Shiller's intervention created "narrative economics" as a specific research agenda. His employment of epidemiological metaphors—that is, the application of disease metaphors to economics—offered new terminology for understanding why specific narratives endure, adapt, or evaporate. This epidemiological orientation also highlighted the methodological issue: researching narratives calls for interdisciplinary measures borrowed from psychology, sociology, linguistics, and data science.

Narratives vs. Rational Expectations

Traditional macroeconomics, particularly since the rational expectations revolution of the 1970s, has put a heavy stress on forward-looking agents who rationally digest information. Under such a system, economic shocks are nicely explained as reflecting mainly fundamental changes—technological, preference, or policy distortions—while "noise" is disregarded as negligible in the long term.

Narrative economics puts this orthodoxy into disarray by insisting that stories need not be noise but structure. There are very few individuals who deal with information in a Bayesian style. Instead, they work with heuristics, metaphors, and cultural scripts, making abstract events understandable. For example, the "government spending more than it earns" account has long guided austerity programs regardless of the empirical validity in equating national budgets to household budgets. Similarly, "housing prices never decrease nationally" was a prevalent pre-crisis narrative in the 2000s, perpetuating speculative bubbles.

This perspective is compatible with Kahneman and Tversky's criticisms of rational expectations by behavioral economists, which demonstrated that framing, the availability heuristic, and loss aversion predictably impact decision-making. The narrative method extrapolates from the individual to the group level these demonstrated results, and it also explains how collective narratives coordinate expectations and create macro-level results. Interestingly, tales can breed self-fulfilling prophecies: anticipation of future inflation can lead firms to raise prices and workers to demand higher wages and thereby generate the inflation expected.

Yet it was Shiller who made "narrative economics" an identifiable research agenda. His use of epidemiological metaphors—to treat economic stories as infectious phenomena like viruses—introduced a new vocabulary to explain why certain stories endure, shift, or vanish. The epidemiological framework also put the methodological task in bold relief: stories must be tackled using interdisciplinary instruments borrowed from psychology, sociology, linguistics, and data science.

Narratives as Memes: Diffusion and Contagion

One useful way of considering economic narratives is the metaphor of memes, first launched into popularity by Richard Dawkins (1976). Memes, like genes, are cultural transmission replicators that copy, mutate, and compete to survive. Narratives can be considered as higher-order memes—packages of symbols, metaphors, and causal stories that diffuse through social networks.

Epidemiological models of idea diffusion provide analytic traction here. Just as diseases are spread by contact between individuals, economic narratives are also spread by interpersonal communication, media, and now electronic media. Shiller (2019) explicitly applies this analogy, suggesting that narratives can be modeled in terms of infection rates, recovery rates, and mutation processes. For instance, the cryptocurrency "digital gold" narrative grew out of earlier libertarian discourse of free money while gaining further strength from speculative success stories that were amplified by the internet.

But whereas viruses are inanimate, stories are not. They resonate with deep well-springs of cultural archetypes, moral intuition, and collective fears. Lakoff and Johnson's conceptual metaphors (1980) show how stories gain life when they link to bodily experiences—i.e., describing the economy as a "machine," the market as "self-correcting," or government as a "parent." The use of these metaphors affects both common understanding and professional economic debate.

The Digital Transformation of Economic Narratives

The digital age has revolutionized the dynamics of storytelling and dissemination. In the past, gatekeeping governed the dissemination of narratives on social media, TV, and newspapers. Today, social networks such as Twitter (X), Reddit, TikTok, and YouTube allow narratives to spread instantly in a span of hours, and they are amplified by algorithmic curation that rewards emotionally resonant or sensational content.

There are three important implications for this transition:

1. ***Acceleration:*** Acceleration: News now travels at a speed that is much higher than the speed of traditional media cycles, shrinking the time horizon for market reaction. For example, tweets by opinion leaders can cause immediate market movement in financial instruments like stocks or cryptocurrencies.
2. ***Amplification:*** Algorithmic recommendation systems favor content that elicits strong emotional responses. This favors sensational, oversimplified, or conspiratorial accounts over nuanced analysis.
3. ***Decentralization:*** While past financial narratives have been powered by reporters, analysts, and policymakers, modern-day narratives are increasingly being powered by decentralized communities. The GameStop 2021 debacle reminded us of the capacity of online communities like WallStreetBets to organize a populist counter-narrative resistance against institutional investors.

Digital networks, therefore, amplify the volatility and democratization of narrative dynamics. They make it harder at the same time for policymakers and scholars to function in a rapidly fragmenting and shifting discursive space.

Interdisciplinary Insights

Narrative economics takes its power from exchange with a number of disciplines that have, in the meantime, developed equivalent understandings of the role of narratives in social life:

- ***Political Science:*** Framing and agenda-setting scholarship (e.g., Entman, 1993; Baumgartner & Jones, 1991) shows how political players deploy stories to frame the public's image and the policy priorities. These can be employed to show fiscal and monetary discourse, where metaphors like "belt-tightening" or "stimulus" guide policy choices.
- ***Sociology:*** Social movement and collective action theories emphasize the role of framing processes to mobilize people (Snow & Benford, 1988). Economic narratives also perform similar roles, creating collective selves around grievances and hopes. The anti-establishment narrative of cryptocurrencies, for example, follows sociological accounts of countercultural mobilization.
- ***Psychology:*** Cognitive psychology provides solid evidence to support why stories are so engaging. Stories impose meaning, reduce complexity, and resonate with affective and moral reasoning. They are more memorable and evocative than abstract statistics, and that is why policy arguments are most likely to rely on anecdotal experience and not econometric evidence.
- ***Communication Studies:*** Media and communications studies highlight that digital media redesconstruct information ecology to enable not only rapid diffusion but also splitting into echo chambers. This aids in narrative polarization of economic conflicts, e.g., divergent narratives of inequality, globalization, or climate policy.

Current Gaps and Research Agenda

Despite the diffusion of scholarship, several gaps remain. First, a large portion of story economics is still overly descriptive, listing examples of compelling stories without creating models that can be verified. Second, much empirical work falls too narrowly into quantifying sentiment or keyword frequencies, rather than engaging with the richness of narrative forms and metaphors. Third, the relationship between stories and fundamentals is weakly theorized: are stories mainly fundamental amplifiers, or may they produce cycles independently? Finally, the evolution of narrative dynamics in the digital era is not addressed comprehensively, specifically in terms of algorithmic amplification and social identity construction online.

This paper narrows the gaps by developing an exhaustive framework that theorizes narratives as structurally embedded, contagious, and dynamic forces within the economy. Through the synthesis of theoretical development with evidence-informed examples borrowed from new economic advancements, it aims to deepen not only the rigor but also the relevance of narrative economics.

Conceptual Framework: Narratives as Economic Forces

The above review has shown that stories are central to economic life but have been treated as being at the margin or anecdotal in mainstream economics. In this part, I propose a conceptual way of understanding narratives as endogenous, dynamic drivers shaping economic outcomes. The architecture integrates theories from behavioral economics, sociology, communications research, and systems theory, and it has four interrelated dimensions:

formation, transmission, persistence/mutation, and interaction with fundamentals. These dimensions combined provide a systematic approach to studying how stories evolve and why they matter for macroeconomic behavior.

Narrative Formation: The Genesis of Economic Stories

Narratives will converge in periods of turbulence and uncertainty. Economic life is replete with uncertainty: individuals need to make decisions given incomplete data, short-term vision, and psychological stress. In such contexts, narratives emerge as mental and cultural tools for reducing complexity.

Several determinants propel narrative construction:

- ***Cognitive Simplification*—**Economic events—such as inflation, recessions, or asset bubbles—are multifaceted and complex. Causal stories simplify explanations because they highlight some causal sequence at the expense of others. For instance, the causal story that "excessive government spending causes inflation" is an oversimplified causal story that overlooks global supply chains or monetary transmission, but it is engaging because it has an intuitive ring to it.
- ***Cultural Archetypes and Metaphors***—Narratives draw upon prior cultural archetypes. People have been comparing the economy to an "ill patient" who needs "medicine" or "surgery" for millennia. These comparisons make abstract economic processes understandable in terms of bodily experience.
- ***Moral and Emotional Salience***—Narratives that introduce economic events in a moralized guise—"hardworking savers vs. reckless speculators," "the people vs. Wall Street"—prove highly popular. Emotional relevance enhances memorability and transmissibility.
- ***Elite and Grassroots Entrepreneurship***—Narratives are both produced by powerful agents (politicians, central bankers, and pundits in the media) and by everyday agents (retail investors on Reddit and crypto enthusiasts). Powerful agents sometimes produce narratives intentionally to justify policy, while everyday agents produce counter-narratives of resistance or other possible futures.

Thus, narrative production is not random but shaped by cognitive bias, cultural repertoire, and power relations.

Narrative Transmission: Mechanisms of Diffusion

Once they are built, stories are shared through social contact. Economic story diffusion spreads along channels that are analogous to—but distinct from—epidemiological contagion. I identify three principal transmission channels:

1. ***Interpersonal Communication***-Word-of-mouth remains at the core. Neighbors, kin, and colleagues swap economic stories, typically in anecdotal fashion. For example, stories of neighbors "getting rich in property" were at the core of fueling the U.S. housing bubble.
2. ***Mass Media Amplification***—The gatekeepers of economic news in the past have been newspapers, radio, and television. Media framing studies show how editorial decisions favor certain causal narratives over others. The 2008 crisis, for example, was framed as a narrative of "irresponsible borrowers" and "reckless banks," which shaped policy reactions.
3. ***Algorithmic Virality and Online Platforms***— In the digital age, stories circulate via social media, discussion boards, and influence networks. Emotionally engaging, easy-to-see-through stories activate algorithmic recommendation software, preferring more meme-like stories. This produces loops of amplification feedback. The GameStop drama illustrates how a "small investors vs. hedge funds" narrative went viral in a matter of days, triggering market-making activity.

Transmission is not value-free. Agents struggle with narrative dominance, and algorithms impose structural bias. Narratives that fit pre-existing beliefs or moral intuitions are more likely to be transmitted, producing echo chambers and polarization.

Narrative Persistence and Mutation

Not every narrative survives. Some fall apart quickly, but others endure for decades. Survival depends on three related factors:

1. ***Resonance with Deep Cultural Frames***—Narratives endure if they resonate with archetypal culture scripts. The "gold as ultimate refuge" story has endured for centuries and is now recast in the cryptocurrency narrative of "digital gold."
2. ***Institutional Reinforcement***—Narratives become lasting when they are embedded in institutions, such as policy rules, school curricula, or fiscal rules. The inflation targeting story, for instance, is institutionalized nowadays in the behavior of central banks, and therefore it is lasting.
3. ***Empirical Reinforcement (or Selective Memory)***—Narratives appearing to be "confirmed" by events become plausible. Even when disproven, they can endure through selective memory. Hyperinflation scares following monetary expansion, for instance, persist despite a couple of decades of contradicting evidence in advanced economies.

But persistence is not the same as stasis. Narrative shifts as it is transmitted. There are mutations due to:

- ***Reframing:*** The narrative of "technological disruption" has been reframed from optimism about productivity growth to alarm about employment loss.
- ***Hybridization:*** Narratives mix, e.g., "inflation + government irresponsibility," into abstract tales of "state failure."
- ***Memetic Compression:*** Complicated narratives are compressed into hashtags, slogans, or memes that facilitate digital spread.

Because narratives are mutable, they are both flexible and volatile, and this can lead to sudden shifts in society's expectations.

Interaction with Economic Fundamentals

One of the key theoretical questions is whether tales simply reflect fundamentals or exert independent causal effects. My view is that tales are independent and dependent variables:

- ***Narratives as Amplifiers of Fundamentals***—They have a tendency to emerge in response to real economic events (e.g., rising prices, appreciation). They then perpetuate the behavior by shaping expectations and activity. In addition to credit expansion, the myth that "housing prices never decline" also contributed to the housing bubble.
- ***Narratives as Autonomous Drivers***—Occasionally, stories come before or even create economic drivers. Take the cryptocurrency bubble, which began more as a story of decentralization and freedom than fundamentals. The dot-com bubble was also spurred by utopian stories of the "new economy" prior to productivity having been realized. A narrative of inflation in the future generates price and wage increases, thereby causing inflation. A story of central bank credibility, on the other hand, anchors expectations, reinforcing monetary effectiveness.
- ***Feedback Loops***—Narratives and fundamentals recursively interact. A story of inflation in the future generates price and wage increases, thereby causing inflation. A story of central bank credibility, on the other hand, anchors expectations, reinforcing monetary effectiveness.

Narratives cannot, therefore, be relegated to the realm of "noise." They are part of the economic structures of economic dynamics, shaping outcomes in addition to fundamentals.

A Multi-Level Framework

Summarizing the above, I propose a multi-level approach for narrative economics that emphasizes co-evolutionary forces:

- ***Micro-Level (Individual Cognition):*** Narratives simplify complexity, are in tune with cognitive biases, and make sense.
- ***Meso-Level (Social Networks and Institutions):*** The narratives spread through social relations, the media, and the internet; they get confirmed or rejected in institutions.
- ***Macro-Level (Economic Outcomes):*** Narrative propels aggregate demand, investment, asset prices, and policy choice and induces loops of causality that feed back into narrative production.

This system brings narrative economics beyond anecdotal description and situates stories in a systemic multi-level process. This means that narratives are not epiphenomena but part of economic dynamics and are worthy of formal integration into macroeconomic models and policy design.

Implications for Research and Policy

The model has several implications:

1. **For Research**: (a) Researchers must examine narratives not only as texts but as unfolding processes of diffusion and mutation. Network analysis techniques, computational linguistics, and cultural sociology techniques can render this feasible. (b) Models must incorporate narratives as endogenous variables and not as exogenous shocks.
2. **For Policy**: (a) Governments and central banks must embrace the fact that their credibility is not only founded on fundamentals but also on the capacity to craft and relay compelling narratives. (b) Policy makers must anticipate narrative-based volatility (e.g., meme stock bubbles) as systemic risk, just like for liquidity crises.

Briefly put, narratives are economic drivers. They are created from ambiguity, propagated through networks, supported by resonating with culture and institutions, and recursively interact with fundamentals. They are to be understood with conceptual precision and empirical science.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The narrative strategy raises methodological challenges distinct from mainstream economics. Narratives are not readily observable as numerical variables; they are context-sensitive, socially constructed, and evolving. Thus, the paper chooses a mixed-method conceptual–empirical strategy. The research design integrates:

- ***Conceptual Frameworking***—The multi-level structure developed in Section 3 informs the choice of the most significant dimensions of narrative dynamics: formation, transmission, persistence, and interaction with fundamentals.
- ***Empirical Illustration through Case Studies***—Three contemporary examples are investigated: The rise of cryptocurrencies (2016–2022); Inflation narratives in the post-COVID period (2020–2023); The meme stock phenomenon (2021).

These cases were selected because they are:

- Salient in making up recent macroeconomic narratives.
- Narrative-rich, with abundant textual and digital content.
- Nominal exemplars of broader genres of economic storytelling: technological utopianism, crisis-fueled anxieties, and populist counter-narratives.

This double approach assures both theoretical depth and empirical richness, shifting narrative economics above anecdotal commentary and resisting reduction.

Data Sources

Narratives make written and digital traces that are analytically traceable. For this study, four primary sources have been employed:

1. ***Conventional Media:*** Business economic news stories from economic newspapers and magazines (e.g., Financial Times, Wall Street Journal, The Economist).
2. ***Policy Documents:*** Government speeches, press releases, and central bank messages to detect elite narrative framing.
3. ***Digital Media:*** Social media platforms (Twitter/X, WallStreetBets on Reddit, cryptocurrency forums, and TikTok finance personalities) for grassroots story development and dissemination.
4. ***Secondary Literature:*** Academic and policy reports that reflect and inscribe dominant tales.

All these sources facilitate triangulation at elite, media, and grassroots levels of story diffusion.

Analytical Strategy

The methodological approach combines qualitative narrative examination and computational text methods to offer both interpretive depth and systematic quantification.

Narrative Identification and Coding

- Narratives are identified as causal narratives with normative direction (e.g., "crypto as financial freedom," "money printing causes inflation," "the people versus Wall Street").
- A coding protocol distinguishes narrative frames (broad metaphors and causal patterns) and narrative expressions (specific slogans, memes, or anecdotes).
- The discursive frame "inflation as government irresponsibility" is present in "money printer go brrr."

Text Mining and Keyword Analysis

- Frequency analysis identifies the frequency of keywords and keyword phrases over time (e.g., "digital gold," "hyperinflation," "short squeeze").
- Topic modeling (e.g., Latent Dirichlet Allocation) may identify clusters of discourse, which may aid in the detection of evolving sub-narratives.

Sentiment and Emotion Analysis

- Quantitative techniques quantify emotional tone, differentiating optimism (e.g., crypto utopianism) and fear (e.g., inflation panic) storylines.
- Charged storylines are expected to go viral, as seen in psychology and communication research.

Network Analysis

In digital contexts, narratives spread through networks of influencers, followers, and forums.

Network analysis uses central nodes, such as Reddit thread moderators and Elon Musk's cryptocurrency market tweets, to illustrate patterns of diffusion.

Triangulation with Economic Outcomes

- Narrative patterns are compared to economic indicators (asset prices, measures of volatility, and inflation expectations).
- While causality is complex, time-series correlations can demonstrate the way narrative intensity is interrelated with market action.

Case Selection Rationale

The three case studies have complementary functions:

1. ***Cryptocurrency Boom (2016–2022)*** – Illustrates technological-utopian narratives: financial independence, distrust of central banks, and "digital gold." Illuminates the way bottom-up groups create and sustain narratives that subvert institutional narratives.
2. ***Post-COVID Inflation Tales (2020–2023)*** – Illustrates crisis narratives: hyperinflation risk aversion, fiscal stimulus controversies, and central bank reputation. Illustrates how narratives fit in with policy communication and the fundamentals (monetary policy, supply shocks).
3. ***Meme Stocks (GameStop and AMC, 2021)***—Illustrates populist counter-narratives: "the people vs. Wall Street," collective identity, and financial rebellion. Illustrates how online groups produce market-moving stories in real time.

The cases collectively evoke heterogeneity of narrative types—utopian, afraid, and rebel—and illustrate the cross-domain applicability of the framework.

Validity, Limitations, and Reflexivity

No narrative study approach is free of challenges. Three are mentioned:

1. ***Causality Ambiguity***-Correlation does not equate to causation between narrative intensity and economic trends. Narratives may amplify what already exists and support true trends, as opposed to causally creating them on their own. This is overcome in this paper by focusing on interaction mechanisms, not absolute causal statements.
2. ***Data Bias***-Digital footprints are prone to overrepresentation by younger, more tech-savvy groups and underrepresentation of others. Bias towards elite opinions in conventional media must also be mentioned.
3. ***Interpretive Reflexivity***-The analysis of narratives is interpretive; coding choices are judgmental. Systematic coding criteria, triangulation between sources, and methodological choice transparency are used in the research to minimize subjectivity.

Despite these constraints, the mixed-method design holds because it bridges computational breadth and qualitative depth.

Positioning within Narrative Economics

Methodologically, this paper adds to the discipline in three ways:

1. ***Integrative Approach:*** It transcends anecdotal descriptions by merging computational strategies with interpretive modes.
2. ***Digital Focus:*** It brings into focus the phenomenon of algorithmic amplification and social media, areas never before explored in narrative economics.
3. ***Multi-Level Analysis:*** By analyzing narratives at elite, media, and grassroots levels, it gets to the co-evolutionary forces left out of the traditional economic models.

Transition to Empirical Analysis

The methodological foundation laid here will enable systematic exploration of the three case studies in Section 5. All three are analyzed with the framework of formation, transmission, persistence/mutation, and interaction with fundamentals through this lens, with reference to the data sources and analysis methods outlined above. The aim is not to narrate stories but to show how they act as economic forces with measurable impact.

Case Studies / Empirical Illustrations

The Cryptocurrency Boom (2016–2022)

The bubble in cryptocurrencies illustrates the way market narratives spearheaded by techno-utopianism shape markets. Bitcoin first appeared in 2009 and initially circulated among libertarians and cryptographers, who marketed it as a decentralized currency that would be outside the control of central banks. Initial narratives involved distrust of central banks, financial autonomy, and opposition to inflationary "printing" of currency.

By 2016–2017, cryptocurrency stories had multiplied. "Digital gold" was the dominant metaphor by which Bitcoin was presented as an insurance asset, much like gold but with a digital flourish. This frame resonated with cultural archetypes of security, scarcity, and autonomy. Early adopter millionaire anecdotes amplified the story by adding aspirational and speculative components.

Diffusion was through grassroots digital networks. YouTube personalities, internet forums (Bitcointalk, Reddit), and then Twitter/X were the sites of diffusion. Mainstream media reports picked up the story and focused on suspiciously high returns. Elite endorsement came through institutional adoption—Tesla buying Bitcoin in 2021 and Coinbase's IPO. What was especially interesting was that algorithmic amplification saw emotionally resonant content ("get rich quick," "banks fear crypto") diffused more widely than even technical discussion.

The narrative continued by branching into sub-stories:

- Smart contracts and Ethereum transformed crypto into a decentralized app platform.
- NFTs extended the narrative into art and culture, placing crypto in greater digital identity stories.
- Stablecoins reinterpret crypto as a payment and remittance tool with use cases in mind.

Despite crashes (2018, 2022), the core "financial sovereignty" narrative endured. The narrative adapted to include setbacks: crashes were reframed as temporary corrections en route to inevitable mass adoption.

Crypto markets have tenuous ties to traditional fundamentals; thus, narratives are unequally affected. Bitcoin price was more correlated with narrative intensity (Twitter sentiment, Google search intensity) than with application as a medium of exchange. Institutional demand on account of narrative legitimacy amplified price action. Anti-narrative policy crackdowns (China ban, U.S. SEC lawsuits) discredited narratives, showing the recursive tension between narratives and policy fundamentals.

Inflation Narratives in the Post-COVID Era (2020–2023)

The pandemic of COVID-19 produced unprecedented fiscal and monetary responses. Central banks expanded their balance sheets and printed money using quantitative easing, and governments worldwide introduced stimulus packages. Under uncertainty, stories were heard of what would happen.

Two dueling narratives prevailed:

1. ***Hyperinflation Narrative:*** Spread by memes like "money printer go brrr," this narrative explained stimulus as irresponsible and predicted Weimar-type inflation. It was so easy to understand and emotionally appealing that it was a success, especially on social media.
2. ***Stabilization Narrative:*** Central banks claimed that threats from inflation were manageable and transitory, referencing technical explanations of slack, supply chains, and anchored expectations.

The hyperinflation narrative was compelling in terms of cultural archetypes of fiscal profligacy (comparative analogy to household budgets) and moral framings of frugality against excess.

Mainstream outlets parroted both camps. Conservative media underscored budget profligacy; progressive media justified the stimulus. But online, it was the hyperinflation myth that flared up again. Memes, YouTube clips, and Twitter fights spread the idea that printing too much currency always devalues money. The narrative was simple, visual (i.e., unlimited money-printing gifs), and consonant with folk-economic intuition.

High-profile celebrities were also involved in narrative wars. Central banks struggled to put technical explanations in a way that would engage the masses. Financial commentators and politicians, meanwhile, propagated the inflation-fear narrative to legitimize policy positions (anti-stimulus, anti-tax).

As inflation did indeed rise in 2021–2022 (due to bottlenecks in supply, energy shocks, and rebound in demand), the hyperinflation narrative did seem to be confirmed. Although inflation never got to previous hyperinflationary heights, confirmation bias ensured that the narrative persisted.

Mutations followed:

- Inflation fear came to be associated with bitcoin advocacy ("Bitcoin as a hedge against fiat debasement").
- They also gave rise to populist attacks against elites, framing central banks as either incompetent or complicit.

By 2023, when inflation had eased, central banks recovered narrative dominance but were scarred: public trust in monetary authorities had been lost, and inflation expectations were more attuned to media comment than before.

Here, narratives and fundamentals converged. Supply shocks and demand excesses had the material drivers, but stories amplified expectations. Household surveys indicated that they consistently expected higher inflation than did professional forecasters—a difference explained in part by narrative bias. Policy-maker communication could not counter viral folk stories, demonstrating technical vs. emotive storytelling asymmetry.

Meme Stocks and Retail Investing (GameStop, AMC, 2021)

In early 2021, there was a dramatic financial event: shares of struggling companies like GameStop and AMC skyrocketed due to coordinated retail investor efforts. At its core was a story about rebellion against Wall Street

insiders. The story placed hedge funds in the role of short-selling predators exploiting ordinary people, with retail investors mobilizing as a collective to punish them.

This tale drew on David against Goliath and populist resistance tropes. Economic drivers (declining revenues, disruption from the pandemic) were neglected in favor of a moral struggle narrative.

The narrative spread primarily in Reddit's WallStreetBets community, fueled by memes, payment screenshots, and effectively charged mottoes like "Hold the line." Twitter and TikTok accelerated diffusion. Coverage by mainstream media shortly further amplified the phenomenon, leaning toward framing it as a culture war between popular traders and institutional finance.

The role of online community dynamics was key: memes, irony, and humor produced high in-group solidarity levels, so joining up was not just financially but symbolically profitable. Visibility came from celebrities and influencers, and finance apps like Robinhood lowered barriers to entry.

Despite the instability, the story continued for several months. The narrative changed even as prices dropped:

- From financial revolt to shared identity ("diamond hands," "apes together strong").
- To a broader criticism of fiscal inequity and systemic injustice.

Unlike crypto, meme stock narratives were more durable in cultural terms but less so in the marketplace; however, they left a cultural legacy. The event recontextualized disputes about financial democratization, regulation, and internet forum influence.

The meme stock event illustrated how narrative can briefly overwhelm fundamentals. GameStop's stock price rose far more than could be accounted for by traditional models. Fundamentals did finally reassert themselves, however, only after considerable disruption to the market and regulatory scrutiny. The saga also had policy implications, with congressional hearings convened on retail investing, short-selling activity, and platform regulation.

Comparative Insights

The three instances, although individual, are typical of usual dynamics as per the proposed model:

1. ***Formation***—Stories are created through uncertainty and ambiguity, more and more put in metaphors (digital gold, money printer, David vs. Goliath).
2. ***Transmission***—Digital media speed up diffusion, favoring emotive and condensed messages. Grassroots networks now have as much influence as elites.
3. ***Persistence/Mutation*** – Narratives endure by transforming, mutating into new narratives, or becoming aspects of group identities. They do not perish; they get reinterpreted.
4. ***Interaction with Fundamentals***—Narratives may amplify fundamentals (inflation fear), lead to them (cryptocurrency), or briefly eclipse them (meme stocks). Feedback loops in all instances generate reinforcing patterns.

These examples demonstrate that stories are not peripheral epiphenomena but active, measurable forces that direct markets, policy, and group behavior.

DISCUSSION

Narratives as Endogenous Economic Forces

The three case studies suggest the ways in which stories are not mere reflections of economic circumstances but endogenous drivers that shape expectations, actions, and policy regimes.

- In the days of crypto-hyping, narratives generated demand where there were no traditional fundamentals.
- Concerning inflation, narratives reaffirmed or eroded confidence in monetary authorities.
- Market activity was brief yet intensive due to trades in meme stocks, which translated individual investment choices into group behavior.

These forces mystify the neoclassical supposition that expectations are built logically from fundamentals. Instead, they suggest that economic actors behave within narrative ecologies in which stories provide heuristics, frames, and motivations. Narrative economics, as a result, completes and corrects conventional models of information and expectations.

Mechanisms of Narrative Power

Throughout cases, four mechanisms of narrative impact stand out:

1. ***Cognitive Framing:*** Stories simplify complexity through metaphors ("digital gold," "money printer go brrr") that make abstract economic phenomena real. Cognitive framing affects the way people interpret information and make decisions.

2. ***Emotional Resonance:*** More people share stories that inspire fear, optimism, or outrage than those that offer a neutral explanation. Emotional contagion increases diffusion and longevity, as seen in meme culture and hyperinflation fears.
3. ***Construction of Collective Identity:*** Narrative produces shared identities that make possible coordination. "Crypto believers," or "diamond hands," illustrate how narrative brings individuals together in groups to make possible action that cannot be adequately justified on the basis of self-interest.
4. ***Feedback Loops with Fundamentals***: Stories can generate self-fulfilling prophecies. Widespread inflation fears can cause households to demand higher wages or front-load purchases, thereby fueling price rises. Similarly, crypto bull narratives attract capital inflows, raising valuations and supporting the legitimacy narrative.

These feedback mechanisms demonstrate how stories are social multipliers that translate diffuse moods into forces for moving markets.

Implications for Economic Theory

Standard economic theory generally disregards stories as "noise" over rational fundamentals. Implications of the results here suggest a need for more earnest integration of stories into theory, and at least three implications:

1. ***Expectations as Narrative-Driven*** - Rational expectations models assume that agents make optimal use of all available information. Narrative economics suggests that agents rely on stories, not comprehensive or unemotional or information-less ones. This shifts expectations to being socially constructed and information-less.
2. ***Markets as Cultural Spaces—***Markets are not only places where prices are set. They are sites of negotiated identity and meaning. The meme stock mania is the best example of how market participation can be an expressive and symbolic activity.
3. ***Dynamic Equilibria*** — Stories are not static; they shift, adapt, and evolve as time passes, reflecting new perspectives and circumstances. In contrast to fixed rational expectations or fixed tastes, narrative dynamics suggest nonlinear equilibria, with speech changes perhaps generating tipping points. Incorporating such dynamics requires tools that draw on economics and network theory, psychology, and cultural studies.

Implications for Policy and Communication

The cases also carry important policy implications:

1. ***Central Bank Communication***—The inflation case shows that technical communication is often insufficient in the presence of viral, emotive tales. Policymakers must contend with the same story marketplace, but now with messages that are human, clear, and emotionally compelling.
2. ***Financial Regulation***—Crypto and meme stocks reflect how digital communities are able to generate systemic risk outside normal regulatory universes. Instead of considering balance-sheet fundamentals of assets, regulators have to think about the narrative-based volatility of such assets.
3. ***Public Legitimacy and Trust***—The endemic distrust of elites, as seen in both crypto and meme stock narratives, compromises the effectiveness of policy institutions. Gaining trust requires both technical proficiency and narrative legitimacy, which involves integrating policies into narratives that reflect popular beliefs.

The Digital Amplification of Narratives

Among the shared issues across cases is how digital technologies enhance stories:

- ***Speed***: Facts circulate in real-time, accelerating market reactions.
- ***Scale:*** Memes and hashtags go viral to millions, many more than traditional policy messaging.
- ***Algorithmic Bias:*** Platforms prioritize engagement, with emotive and sensational content beating out hard explanations.
- In contrast to destabilizing narratives (simplistic, emotive), stabilizing narratives (conservative, technical) suffer from this structural imbalance. For policymakers and economists, this means narrative management cannot be confined to classical streams but has to respond to the logics of digital environments.

Toward a Narrative-Informed Economics

To place narratives fully into economics, policymakers and researchers need novel paradigms and tools:

1. ***Measurement Innovations***—Advances in natural language processing, sentiment analysis, and network theory provide methods for measuring stories. Such technology can supplement surveys and market data to track real-time shifts in discourse.

2. ***Interdisciplinary Integration***—Economics needs to learn from sociology, anthropology, psychology, and media studies about how narratives work. Interdisciplinary mobility is essential because narratives are as much about culture as they are about economics.
3. ***Policy Experimentation***—Whereas central banks conduct stress tests, policymakers may conduct narrative stress tests—scenario planning that anticipates how different stories might emerge, transmit, and shape expectations.

Broader Societal Implications

The narrative perspective also evokes larger society issues:

1. ***Democracy and Narrative Competition:*** As economic policy is contested through narratives, so also are democratic norms. The same forces that drive meme stocks drive populist politics.
2. ***Inequality and Representation:*** Grassroots narratives rise from communities that feel themselves outside elite discourse. Both crypto fans and meme-stock traders use a language of institutional distrust. Narrative economics thus addresses issues of inclusion, legitimacy, and power directly.
3. ***Economic Crises in the Future:*** Crisis management can be augmented by narrative dynamics. In times of uncertainty, the tales that individuals tell themselves—and believe—can be as vital as monetary or fiscal fundamentals.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Although this paper pushes the narrative economics agenda forward, there are some limitations:

- ***Causality:*** Narrative causation remains difficult to prove methodologically; fundamentals and narratives are indissolubly linked.
- ***Scope:*** The case studies are representative but not comprehensive; further work might touch on climate change stories, austerity tales, or stories in new economies.
- ***Reflexivity:*** Researchers themselves are agents of narrative-making. Scholarly narratives impact how the public and decision-makers view events.

Future research should pursue comparative cross-cultural research, quantitative modeling of the diffusion of narrative, and integration with macroeconomic forecasting.

Synthesis

The case studies identify a governing conclusion: economic life is structured through narrative. Narrative influences what people expect, the manner in which people behave collectively, and how institutions respond. They spread across electronic networks, transform due to pressure, and loop back into market performance. An understanding of their role not only adds to economic theory but also enhances the ability of policymakers to predict, explain, and steer collective conduct.

CONCLUSION

Narratives and public perception influence economic activity just as much as physical circumstances and conventional market fundamentals. This essay has examined how narratives are constructed, spread, persist, and intersect with economic outcomes, providing conceptual clarity and empirical evidence to the new narrative economics. By marrying theoretical argument and empirical examination of memes in cryptocurrencies, post-COVID inflation, and meme stocks, the study confirms that narratives are not marginal effects but endogenous drivers with measurable economic impacts.

Summary of Key Findings

The following succinctly describes the main contributions of the paper:

1. Conceptual Framework:

- Using emotional resonance, cultural archetypes, and cognitive heuristics, narratives arise in ambiguous situations.
- Narratives spread through social networks, media, and online platforms, often amplified by algorithms' bias.
- Through resonance with cultural frames, institutional embedding, and mutation, narratives endure.
- Lastly, narratives interact recursively with economic essentials, creating feedback cycles that can intensify, precede, or temporarily override material circumstances.

- Empirical Insights

2. ***Cryptocurrencies:*** The financial sovereignty tale drove adoption and appreciation beyond traditional fundamentals.
3. ***Inflation Narratives:*** Popular narratives and mainstream memes shaped expectations and drove household behavior, emphasizing the limits of technical transmission.
4. ***Meme Stocks:*** Online communities created a mass, narrative-based financial phenomenon, demonstrating the power of collective narratives in propelling markets.
5. ***Mechanisms of Influence:***
6. Narratives organize cognition, generate affect, align collective identity, and engage with fundamentals, demonstrating that economic behavior cannot be addressed fully by rational-choice theories.

These results collectively reinforce the fact that narrative is a structural, measurable, and policy-relevant force in modern economies.

Contributions to Theory and Policy

This study advances narrative economics along three dimensions:

1. Theoretical Contribution:

- Through the formalization of a multi-level paradigm that connects meso-level networks, macro-level results, and micro-level cognition, the study offers an organized perspective for comprehending narratives as endogenous economic forces.
- The arrangement enables dynamic, non-linear processes, opening up a path towards integrating narratives into macroeconomic modeling, behavioral finance, and system risk measurement.

2. Methodological Contribution:

- Integrating qualitative narrative analysis with computational methods—e.g., text mining, sentiment analysis, and network mapping—is an operationalizable path to narrative economics.
- This mixed-methods approach offers a roadmap for future study on the dynamics of narrative in the digital era by bridging the gap between anecdotal observation and empirical rigor.

3. Policy Implications:

- The power of narratives in influencing expectations and market behavior must be acknowledged by central banks and policymakers.
- Policy communication must engage in the realm of stories, with emotionally compelling and culturally comprehensible stories rather than technical explanations only.
- As much as physical conditions and traditional market fundamentals impact economic activity, so do narratives and public opinion.

Broader Implications

Exceeding economics, narrative analysis illuminates the intersection of culture, identity, and power in today's societies. The trend of decentralized finance, meme investing, and going-viral inflation stories provides evidence that local communities, digital spaces, and affective stories condition human conduct more and more. Narratives are therefore important to understand not only to predict market conduct, but also to design inclusive, credible, and socially legitimate economic institutions.

Additionally, the potential and risk of story economics are increased in the digital age. News is shared at unprecedented velocity and scale, but so is misinformation. Policymakers and scholars will need to develop means to monitor, understand, and, where necessary, counter destabilizing narratives without contaminating free speech or free markets.

LIMITATIONS

While this research presents a structured examination of narrative economics, some constraints have to be noted. First, even if narratives highly correlate with economic performance, the causality has to be disentangled from feedback effects, which is challenging. Second, coverage of cases is limited because the paper is focused predominantly on cryptocurrencies, inflation, and meme stocks, leaving other areas—e.g., climate finance, housing markets, or emerging economies—relatively open for exploration. Third, data limitations present potential biases as digital data sources have the potential to over-sample certain demographic segments. Addressing these limitations offers valuable lines of guidance for future methodological research and improvement.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Another set of intriguing avenues that this research identifies involves the following: how narratives operate in different cultural, institutional, and regulatory settings to better account for cross-country variation in narrative effects; entering narrative metrics into macroeconomic forecasting, risk assessment in finance, and behavioral simulation is another answer; and amplification by algorithms, regulation on platforms, and how far online communities push the velocity, persistence, and mutation of narratives could be considered in digital ecosystem analysis. Finally, policy experimentation could involve the development and testing of narrative-informed interventions, e.g., crisis communication templates or narrative-informed financial literacy interventions.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Narratives are not epiphenomena but structural determinants of policy consequences, behavior, and expectations. The digital media revolution expanded its scope, power, and velocity, making the economics of narrative increasingly vital. By integrating conceptual clarity, empirical demonstration, and policy relevance, this paper shows that understanding the stories people tell—and believe—is critical to explaining, predicting, and shaping economic dynamics.

Ultimately, this research advocates a paradigm shift: economics must transcend quantitative, fundamentals-driven analysis to include the human element of meaning, emotion, and culture. Only then can researchers, policymakers, and economic agents fully understand the forces that drive contemporary economic life.

Funding Statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process

During the preparation of this work, the author(s) used QuillBot and Grammarly to enhance the writing by only paraphrasing certain pieces of writing. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Ethical Statement

Ethical approval does not apply to this manuscript.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

Akerlof, G. A., & Shiller, R. J. (2009). *Animal spirits: How human psychology drives the economy, and why it matters for global capitalism*. Princeton University Press.

Baumgartner, F. R., & Jones, B. D. (1991). *Agenda dynamics and policy subsystems*. University of Chicago Press.

Christodoulou-Volos, C. (2025). Narratives as macroeconomic signals: Shaping expectations, confidence, and collective action. Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology, 9(9), 1895–1923. https://doi.org/10.55214/2576-8484.v9i9.10237

Dawkins, R. (1976). *The selfish gene*. Oxford University Press.

Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *Journal of Communication, 43*(4), 51–58. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x

Hayek, F. A. (1945). The use of knowledge in society. *American Economic Review, 35*(4), 519–530.

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica, 47*(2), 263–292. https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185

Keynes, J. M. (1936). *The general theory of employment, interest, and money*. Macmillan.

Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by*. University of Chicago Press.

McCloskey, D. N. (1985). *The rhetoric of economics*. University of Wisconsin Press.

McCloskey, D. N. (1998). *The rhetoric of economics* (2nd ed.). University of Wisconsin Press.

Shiller, R. J. (2017). Narrative economics. *American Economic Review, 107*(4), 967–1004. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.107.4.967

Shiller, R. J. (2019). *Narrative economics: How stories go viral and drive major economic events*. Princeton University Press.

Snow, D. A., & Benford, R. D. (1988). Ideology, frame resonance, and participant mobilization. *International Social Movement Research, 1*, 197–217.

Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science, 211*(4481), 453–458. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7455683

